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Abstract

In this work, we synthesized TiO₂–graphene composite as a novel preconcentration material. It was enclosed in a microcolumn in the on-line flow injection system to adsorb trace light (La), medium (Tb), and heavy (Ho) rare earth elements (REEs) prior to their determinations by microwave plasma torch-atomic emission spectrometry (MPT-AES). Various experimental parameters, such as sample loading time, sample flow rate, sample pH, eluent flow rate, eluent concentration, and interfering ions, were investigated systematically. Under the optimum conditions, the detection limits (three times of standard deviations of blank by 7 reiterations) of La, Tb, and Ho were found to be 2.2, 1.6, and 2.8 μg L⁻¹, with enrichment factors of 17.1, 11.1, and 10.2, respectively. Relative standard deviations for the determination of the target REEs were 3.6%, 1.3%, and 1.4%, respectively (n = 7). The developed method was validated by the analysis of La, Tb, and Ho in certified reference material (GBW07313, marine sediment) and high purity REE oxide samples.

1. Introduction

As an alternative to inductively coupled plasma-atomic emission spectrometry (ICP-AES), microwave plasma torch-atomic emission spectrometry (MPT-AES) has found its applications in the determination of metal ions with the advantages of high sensitivity and low operation cost [1]. Commonly, direct MPT-AES determination is difficult due to the low sensitivities and matrix effects. Separation and preconcentration steps are often necessary to achieve sensitive and reliable results [2].

Among the various preconcentration methods, adsorption is receiving increasing attention because of the eco-friendly characteristics. Based on the adsorption technique, on-line column preconcentration has been extensively studied because of its advantages of high enrichment factor, high recovery, rapid phase separation, low cost, low consumption of organic solvents, and the ability to combine with different detection instruments. It is well established that the fundamental properties of the adsorption materials are of prime importance because they decide the sensitivity and selectivity of the analytical method. Therefore, many materials possessing good mass transfer property, large surface area, and high adsorption capacity are explored as adsorbents, such as activated carbon [3,4], C18 [5], polymers [6], silica gel [7], metal alkoxide glass [8], ion-imprinted materials [9], and various resins [10–13].

Titanium dioxide (TiO₂), one of the most important transition metal oxides, has been applied in many areas, e.g., gas sensing [14], photocatalysts [15], photoelectrodes [16], and solar energy conversion [17]. Possessing its several advantages including high surface-area, catalysis, safety, and stability, the applications of TiO₂ as adsorbents have also been reported by some authors [18–21]. However, TiO₂ is not selective and suffers from complicated matrices when used for the adsorption of metal ions [18]. To solve this problem, modification of TiO₂ is often required. Carbonaceous materials are one kind of supports for the dispersion of TiO₂ in order to exhibit additional functionalities, such as structure, surface area, activity, and conductivity. Among the carbonaceous materials, carbon nanotubes (CNTs) are most frequently investigated in relation to adsorption studies or the treatment of contaminated water and air [22–26]. Various methods have been reported to introduce TiO₂ onto CNTs, such as mechanical mixing, sol–gel synthesis, electrospinning methods, electrophoretic deposition, and chemical vapor deposition. Sol–gel synthesis is preferred because it may lead to a heterogeneous, non-uniform coating of CNTs by TiO₂, showing...
bare CNTs surfaces and random aggregation of TiO$_2$ onto the CNTs surface [23].

Graphene, as an allotrope of elemental carbon, has gained increasing interest since it was discovered [27]. It is a one-atom thick layer of carbon, and the carbon atoms are tightly packed into a two-dimensional honeycomb configuration, both of which can maximize its surface area. Furthermore, its small sizes, high mechanical strength, and excellent electrical conductivities expand the scope toward a wide range of promising applications. To the best of our knowledge, however, studies about the use of graphene as a sorbent material are relatively limited, especially for metal ions [28–30]. In Deng et al.’s work [30], graphenes (GNs) were functionalized with PFS$^-$ and GNs$^{PF_6}$ had high adsorption capacities for Pb(II) and Cd(II). The adsorption processes could reach equilibrium in 40 min and the adsorption isotherms were described well by Langmuir and Freundlich isotherm models.

Based on the properties of TiO$_2$ and graphene (hereafter abbreviated as GN), it is of great interest to develop TiO$_2$/GN composites as a new adsorbent, aiming at a combined advantages of enhanced adsorption capacities. Nevertheless, there is no reliable document to estimate that if GN can be a unique sorbent when it is modified with TiO$_2$. In the present work, TiO$_2$/GN composites were prepared and used as the adsorption materials for flow injection (FI) on-line microcolumn separation/preconcentration before MPT-AES determinations of rare earth elements (REEs). La, Tb, and Ho were selected as representatives for light, medium, and heavy REEs, respectively. In order to achieve the best analytical performance, several experimental parameters, such as sample loading time, flow rate, pH value, eluent flow rate and concentration, were optimized. Meanwhile, the method was applied to detect La, Tb, and Ho in certified reference material and high purity of REE oxide samples under the optimal conditions.

2. Experimental

2.1. Reagents

High purity La$_2$O$_3$ (99.999%), Tb$_2$O$_3$ (99.999%), Ho$_2$O$_3$ (99.999%), and other REE oxides (>99.95%) were purchased from Changchun Institute of Applied Chemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences (Changchun, China). Original solutions of the three target metal ions were prepared by dissolving the above oxides with concentrated nitric acid and titrated with a standard solution of EDTA at pH 3.0 using xylene orange as an indicator. Samples of various concentrations were achieved by stepwise dilution. A certified reference material, GBW07313 (marine sediment), was from National Research Centre for Certified Reference Materials (Beijing, China). Ultrapure water was used throughout. All the reagents used were of analytical grade.

2.2. Apparatus

Ultrapure water was prepared by the Milli-Q SP system (Milipore, Milford, MA, USA). MPT-AES employed for spectrometric measurements was from Jilin University Little Swan Instruments Co. Ltd., China. The operating conditions were listed in Table 1. A PB-10 pH meter (Sartorius Scientific Instruments Co. Ltd., Beijing, China) was used for pH measurements. An HH-6 thermostat water bath cauldron (Guohua Electrical Appliances Co., Ltd., Changzhou, China) and a KSW resistance furnace (Shenyang Energy-saving Enterprise, Shenyang, China) were employed for needed temperatures. Ultrasonic processing was conducted at the aid of a CQ25-12 ultrasonic washer (Xinzhi Biotechnology Research Institute, Shanghai, China).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>MPT-AES operating conditions.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Parameters</td>
<td>Value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microwave forward power (W)</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carrier gas (Ar) flow rate (L/min$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Support gas (Ar) flow rate (L/min$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sheathing gas (O$_2$) flow rate (L/min$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Entrance slit width (μm)</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCD pixel elements</td>
<td>2048</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optical resolution (nm)</td>
<td>0.065</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data transfer rate (ms)</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Integration time (ms)</td>
<td>3−65,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>λ$_{max}$ (nm) for La</td>
<td>333.749</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>λ$_{max}$ (nm) for Tb</td>
<td>350.917</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>λ$_{max}$ (nm) for Ho</td>
<td>345.600</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FT-IR spectra (4000−400 cm$^{-1}$) in KBr were recorded using an Affinity-1 FT-IR spectrometer (Shimadzu, Japan). The FIA-3100 flow injection system (Vital Instruments Co. Ltd., Beijing, China) was composed of a peristaltic pump, an eight-channel multifunctional valve, and PTFE tubes (0.8 mm i.d.). A glassy column (50 mm × 3 mm i.d.) used for packing the TiO$_2$/GN composites contained frits and stopcocks (Beion Medical Technology Co. Ltd., Shanghai, China).

2.3. Procedures

2.3.1. Preparation of TiO$_2$/GN composites

Sol−gel method was employed to synthesize TiO$_2$/GN composites. GN sheets were prepared by reduction of graphite oxide (GO), which was gained by the oxidation of graphite powder with Hummers method [31]. TiO$_2$/GN composite was prepared using tetraethyl titanate and GN sheets as the starting materials by a typical sol−gel method [32]. Briefly, concentrated H$_2$SO$_4$ was added into mixtures of graphite and NaNO$_3$, then KMnO$_4$ was gradually added. The mixture was stirred with a mechanical stir bar for 30 min at 35 °C. Distilled water was added into the mixture, followed by adding 30% H$_2$O$_2$. After filtered and washed four times, the mixture was dried at 100 °C to obtain GO. 0.117 g GO was dispersed with 200 mL ethanol and sonicated for 30 min to get GO/EtOH. GO/EtOH was added with NaBH$_4$ and stirred for 17 h, and then the resulting filter cake was dispersed in ethanol to obtain GN/EtOH. 10 mL tetraethyl titanate was added to GN/EtOH slowly and stirred for 24 h. 5 mL acetic acid solution was introduced, followed by stirring for 6 h and adding 2 mL distilled water. At last, the sol was dried at 80 °C and calcined at 450 °C for 2 h to obtain TiO$_2$/GN composites.

2.3.2. Preparation of microcolumn

Before use, 20 mL 5% HNO$_3$ solution and 20 mL deionized water were passed through the microcolumn in sequence at a flow rate of 2.0 mL min$^{-1}$ in order to clean the microcolumn. Accurately weighed amount of TiO$_2$/GN was packed into the microcolumn by “tap and fill" method. A small portion of glass wool was introduced at both ends and the end caps were fitted. The microcolumn was washed with deionized water, 1.5 mol L$^{-1}$ HNO$_3$, and finally deionized water to remove the remained HNO$_3$.

2.3.3. Procedure of preconcentration

Fig. 1 shows the on-line preconcentration and determination of the REEs. A typical procedure is as follows. At the beginning, the sample was drawn through the microcolumn for 1.5 min at a flow rate of 2.0 mL min$^{-1}$. In the elution step, the injection valve was turned to the elution position to propel 1.5 mol L$^{-1}$ HNO$_3$ through the microcolumn for eluting the analyte retained on the microcolumn. At last, the eluent solution was introduced into MPT-AES. All the experimental work was carried out in triplicate and the average result was presented.
2.4. Sample preparation

The certified reference material (CRM), GBW07313, was treated as the following procedure. About 100 mg CRM was placed in a 15 mL PFA Savillex vial, and then 2 mL HNO₃, 3 mL HF, and 3 mL HClO₄ were added. The sealed vial was heated at 140 °C for 24 h. In order to remove HF, 3 mL HNO₃ was added and evaporated three times. The residue was diluted to 100 mL with ultrapure water and sonicated for 30 min.

REE oxide samples were prepared by dissolving the oxides with concentrated nitric acid and diluted to proper concentrations.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Characterization of TiO₂/GN composites

FT-IR was employed to characterize GN (a), TiO₂ (b), and TiO₂/GN (c), where GN and TiO₂ were prepared similar to TiO₂/GN (Section 2.3.1) but without the step of adding tetrabutyl titanate or preparing GO, respectively. Results were shown in Fig. 2. In Fig. 2(a), the peak in the range of 3200–3700 cm⁻¹ may be ascribed to the residue –OH groups those are not reduced from GO [33]. It is obvious that this peak also appears in Fig. 2(c), i.e., the FT-IR spectrum of TiO₂/GN. After mixing the two components, the FT-IR spectrum of the composite becomes a combination of the absorption bands of GN and TiO₂ [34,35].

3.2. Effect of experimental variables

Variables affecting the emission intensity were investigated and optimized by using the flow injection system combined with the TiO₂/GN microcolumn. The experimental conditions, such as sample loading time, sample flow rate, sample pH, eluent concentration, and eluent flow rate, were examined as follows.

3.2.1. Effect of sample loading time

Fig. 3 shows the effect of sample loading time on the emission intensity. It is obvious that the emission intensity increases with increasing sample loading time (0.5–4.0 min). Although long loading time is a crucial parameter to increase the adsorption, it can reduce analysis frequency which concerns the efficiency of the method. The optimized loading time in the present work was 1.5 min.

3.2.2. Effect of sample flow rate

The sample flow rate is also an important factor to affect the retention of the studied metal ions on the microcolumn containing TiO₂/GN. The experimental results in Fig. 4 state that satisfactory signals of emission can be found when the flow rate is relatively high. Based on an overall consideration of the adsorption capacity and duration of complete analysis, a higher flow rate should be chosen. However, flow rate in higher level needs stronger pressure, which may damage the pipelines and decrease the service life.
of instruments. Moreover, the signal of La increases slightly when the flow rate is greater than 2.0 mL min\(^{-1}\). Therefore, a flow rate of 2.0 mL min\(^{-1}\) was selected.

### 3.2.3. Effect of sample pH

In flow injection on-line systems for metal ions, sample pH is a significant parameter needed to be further studied. Not only the adsorption capacity of TiO\(_2\)/GN but also the formation of the REEs complexes depends on the pH value of samples. Traditionally, appropriate control of acidity can avoid hydrolysis process of metal ions, which may facilitate the detection of analytes. To study the influence of the pH value on the adsorption, the sample pH values were adjusted with diluted nitric acid or sodium hydroxide with fixed concentrations of La, Tb, and Ho (0.5 \(\mu\)g mL\(^{-1}\)). Such a concentration of REEs leads to negligible hydrolysis even at relatively high pH values according to the stability constants of La(OH)\(_3\), Tb(OH)\(_3\), and Ho(OH)\(_3\) \([36]\). Results of pH dependencies were shown in Fig. 5, which generally indicate that higher sample pH can lead to stronger emission intensity within the range of pH 1.0–7.0. This phenomenon may be attributed to the competition between protons and REEs for the adsorption sites of the sorbent increases at low pH values \([22]\). Such results are in accordance with some previous reports. For example, Eu(III) sorption on TiO\(_2\) was determined to be strongly pH-dependent \([21]\). It was suggested that two types of hydroxyl groups exist on the surface of TiO\(_2\), i.e., terminal OH and bridged OH \([18]\). The adsorption capacity thus increased with increasing pH values. In our previous work \([22]\), the adsorption percentage of Pb(II) with TiO\(_2\)/MWCNTs first increased and then changed negligibly as pH increased.

In Fig. 5, the intensity of La increases evidently with increasing pH values. However, the intensity of Tb and Ho does not vary significantly when pH values change. In the present work, REEs solutions were prepared by dissolving the oxides with concentrated nitric acid and diluting stepwise. The pH values of the solutions are about 3.0. Therefore, a sample pH of 3.0 was chosen in the subsequent experiments.
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Fig. 4. Effect of sample flow rate on emission intensity. Standards: 0.50 \(\mu\)g mL\(^{-1}\) for all standards; sample loading time: 1.5 min; sample pH: 3.0; eluent: 1.5 mol L\(^{-1}\) HNO\(_3\); eluent flow rate: 2.5 mL min\(^{-1}\). The operation conditions of MPT-AES were outlined in Table 1.
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Fig. 5. Effect of sample pH on emission intensity. Standards: 0.50 \(\mu\)g mL\(^{-1}\) for all standards; sample loading time: 1.5 min; sample flow rate: 2.0 mL min\(^{-1}\); eluent: 1.5 mol L\(^{-1}\) HNO\(_3\); eluent flow rate: 2.5 mL min\(^{-1}\). The operation conditions of MPT-AES were outlined in Table 1.
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Fig. 6. Effect of HNO\(_3\) concentration on emission intensity. Standards: 0.50 \(\mu\)g mL\(^{-1}\) for all standards; sample loading time: 1.5 min; sample flow rate: 2.0 mL min\(^{-1}\); sample pH: 3.0; eluent flow rate: 2.5 mL min\(^{-1}\). The operation conditions of MPT-AES were outlined in Table 1.
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Fig. 7. Effect of HNO\(_3\) flow rate on emission intensity. Standards: 0.50 \(\mu\)g mL\(^{-1}\) for all standards; sample loading time: 1.5 min; sample flow rate: 2.0 mL min\(^{-1}\); sample pH: 3.0; eluent: 1.5 mol L\(^{-1}\) HNO\(_3\). The operation conditions of MPT-AES were outlined in Table 1.
3.2.4. Effect of eluent concentration

HNO₃ was employed as the eluent in the present work. As one of the most important parameters which has a great effect on the emission intensity, the concentration of HNO₃ was investigated after loading the microcolumn with 0.5 μg mL⁻¹ La, Tb, and Ho (Fig. 6). The intensity increases when HNO₃ concentration is up to 1.5 mol L⁻¹ while levels off at the concentration greater than 1.5 mol L⁻¹. Too high concentration of HNO₃ may lead to severe corruptions while too low concentration of HNO₃ cannot guarantee sufficient elution of the REEs. In this study, 1.5 mol L⁻¹ HNO₃ was selected for the elution of La, Tb, and Ho throughout the experiments.

3.2.5. Effect of eluent flow rate

In order to explore the influence of eluent flow rate on the emission intensity, flow rates in the range of 1.0–4.5 mL min⁻¹ were studied by keeping other experimental conditions fixed. Results were shown in Fig. 7, from which it can be concluded that the intensity reaches a maximum value when the flow rate of HNO₃ is 2.5 mL min⁻¹. When the flow rate exceeds 2.5 mL min⁻¹, the intensity decreases because high flow rate generally leads to a loss to contact time between REEs and the eluent. Therefore, 2.5 mL min⁻¹ was used for further experiments.

3.3. Interference studies

Interference ions are important problems in the determination of the target analytes in real samples. The effects of several cations and the mutual effects among La, Tb, and Ho were examined under the optimized conditions to evaluate the feasibility of the recommended method. The tolerance limit of interfering ions was defined as the highest amount of foreign ions that produce an error no greater than ±5% in the determination of investigated ions. In these experiments, different amounts of foreign ions were added to a solution containing 0.5 μg mL⁻¹ analytes, and then the procedure was implemented to detect the changes of signal. Results were summarized in Table 2, indicating that the proposed method can be used in various samples for the determination of REEs without significant interferences. It is interesting to note that in Duan et al.’s work [1], some easily ionized elements give significant enhancement on analyte signal and that aluminum suppresses the signals considerably. However, similar results were not achieved in the present study, i.e., high tolerance limits of most metal ions were obtained. This should be attributed to the on-line preconcentration procedure with TiO₂/GN microcolumn, which proves itself as an effective strategy for reducing the matrix effects.

3.4. Analytical features

Under the optimized conditions, the linearity of the method was obtained for the studied REEs with high correlation coefficients when the concentrations were ranged from 0.01 to 2.0 μg mL⁻¹.

### Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Foreign ions</th>
<th>Tolerance limit (μg mL⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>La</td>
<td>3000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tb</td>
<td>3000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ho</td>
<td>3900</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>LOD (μg L⁻¹)</th>
<th>LOQ (μg L⁻¹)</th>
<th>%RSD (n = 7)</th>
<th>EF</th>
<th>Sample throughput (samples h⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>La</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tb</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ho</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sorbent</th>
<th>Instrument</th>
<th>LOD (μg L⁻¹)</th>
<th>Application</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>REEs</td>
<td>Silica modified by PAN</td>
<td>ICP-OES</td>
<td>0.011–0.069</td>
<td>Natural water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REEs</td>
<td>Chitosan-based chelating resin</td>
<td>ICP-AES</td>
<td>0.002–0.25</td>
<td>River water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La, Ce, Nd, Y</td>
<td>Cinamene anion exchange resin</td>
<td>MPT-AES</td>
<td>0.78–2.02</td>
<td>High purity REE oxide samples</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ce, Dy, Eu, La, Yb</td>
<td>Mesoporous titanium dioxide</td>
<td>ICP-OES</td>
<td>0.03–0.35</td>
<td>Environmental samples</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REEs</td>
<td>Flake type chitosan resin</td>
<td>ICP-AES</td>
<td>0.003–0.028</td>
<td>River water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REEs</td>
<td>DSC-arsonazo on activated carbon</td>
<td>ICP-AES</td>
<td>1.59–9.10</td>
<td>High purity zinc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REEs</td>
<td>Aminocarboxylic sorbents</td>
<td>ICP-AES</td>
<td>0.1–4.0</td>
<td>Environmental samples</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La</td>
<td>Quinolin-8-ol and Amberlite XAD-7</td>
<td>ICP-AES</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>Urine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REEs</td>
<td>Iron-chlorocomplexes Dowex1X-8</td>
<td>ICP-OES</td>
<td>0.006–0.93</td>
<td>Silicate rocks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y</td>
<td>HDEHP adsorbed on a C18 support</td>
<td>ICP-AES</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Water and biological samples</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La, Tb, Ho</td>
<td>TiO₂/GN</td>
<td>MPT-AES</td>
<td>1.6–2.8</td>
<td>High purity REE oxide samples</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
calibration curves with and without the on-line preconcentration step. EF values of La, Tb, and Ho were determined as 17.1, 11.1, and 10.2, respectively. A high sample throughput, 30 samples h⁻¹, was also calculated and listed in Table 3.

According to IUPAC recommendations, limits of detection (LODs) are defined as the concentration corresponding to three times the standard deviation of the blanks. Under the optimum conditions, the LODs for La, Tb, and Ho were calculated to be 2.2, 1.6, and 2.8 µg L⁻¹, respectively. Limits of quantification (LOQs) calculated as the blank signal plus ten-times its standard deviations were 10.4, 7.2, and 11.1 µg L⁻¹, respectively. The relative standard deviations (RSD) of our method, obtained for seven determinations of 0.5 µg mL⁻¹ La, Tb, and Ho solutions, were 3.6%, 1.3%, and 1.4%, respectively. All the results were listed in Table 3. As a comparison, some reported on-line adsorption procedures for atomic emission spectrometric determinations of REEs were summarized in Table 4. The present strategy cannot provide the best LOD level. However, it is comparable when considering not only the analytical characteristics but also its advantage of lower capital of operational cost than ICP-AES.

In order to study the stability and potential regeneration of the TiO₂/GN microcolumn, the microcolumn was loaded with the REEs and then the loaded REEs was eluted under the optimized conditions. No obvious decrease in the responses to La, Tb, and Ho solutions was found over 2 months of adsorption–elution operations, implying a feasible multiple use of the microcolumn.

3.5. Validation and applications

Under the optimized conditions, the precision of the developed method was evaluated with the certified reference material (GBW07313) and high purity REE oxide samples. As shown in Table 5, no significant differences were observed between the certified and found values, illustrating that the determined values of the present method coincide well with the certified values.

High purity REE oxide samples were analyzed to verify the applicability of the developed method. The recovery values demonstrated that a sufficient recovery could be achieved (87.3–108.6%). All the figures certified that the developed method could be used for the determination of La, Tb, and Ho in commercial REE oxide samples.

4. Conclusions

A novel sorbent, TiO₂–graphene composite, was synthesized and used for a rapid on-line pretreatment procedure coupled with MPT-AES. All results demonstrated that it can be used for the collection of low concentrations of REEs in the samples, and the ions adsorbed on the composite can be easily eluted with 1.5 mol L⁻¹ nitric acid solution. In addition, excellent analytical characteristics such as high enrichment factor, sensitivity, accuracy, and good tolerance to interferences of matrix ions, proved a promising application of the method for trace REEs analysis.
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